Section 31f

Vigilance is Needed (1/27/1969)

Thus, it appears the concept of a regional government for the entire Bay Area has a number of built-in defects that will prevent any plan to establish a form of super-government inconsistent with the best interests of its smaller component units from gaining easy acceptance. It is destined to meet a hard, determined fight from those counties, like Solano, who cannot completely identify themselves with the entire Bay Area and have no desire to inherit its problems at the expense of determining their own destinies.

Among the many functions of the proposed new level of government is so-called regional planning, planning in the sense of giving regional direction to the use of land in the area—the key to the agency's political future.

Control of land use ultimately means control of the area's economic destiny. Thus far, this has been a political power of prime importance to the local level of government and a power which won't be surrendered upon just casual consideration-particularly when this authority is to be bolstered by the power of eminent domain and the right to impose taxes as well as issue bonds.

Introduction of the Knox committee's findings to the legislative arena well may open the door to another first for California, but it isn't likely that the lawmakers in Sacramento and the people of the nine Bay Area counties will move through it at too fast a pace.

As Assemblyman Dunlap observed at a legislative workshop held in Fairfield on Dec. 19, implementation of the Knox committee recommendations still is a long way from final legislation and shifting forces in the Legislature can be expected to produce changes that maretially could affect the final form of any regional organization that apparently will be

In the interim, however, Solano County cannot afford to be lulled into a sense of false security because of temporary inaction on the legislative level. We must be constantly alert to every move and parliamentary procedure that may occur and be prepared to counteract them effectively. We have come too far to lose the battle through complacency and desultory actics at times when positive action is needed.

EDITORIALS Vigilance Is Needed

In a state which already has established a long record of political firsts and political controversies, California is assured of becoming deeply involved in another precedentbreaking imbroglio during the 1969 legislative session. And it promises to be a dandy.

The subject will be regional government, specifically for the San Francisco Bay Area where successful accomplishment of a regional government would be a first but certainly won't be imposed without controversy and a hot legislative fight.

The subject will get a full airing, however, when the lawmakers consider recommendations on the issue stemming from a major study by the Joint Legislative Committee on Bay Area Regional Organization. Headed by Assemblyman John T. Knox of Richmond, the committee already has released its proposals and has announced it will introduce a measure calling for what it labels a limited regional government for the nine Bay Area counties.

The battle lines on this controversial subject already are drawn with Solano County unalterably opposed to mandatory inclusion in any form of regional organization with taxing, bonding and condemnation powers which could subordinate local interests to the whims of the more populous Bay Region counties by application of the "one-man, one-vote" rule.

One of the basic tenets of the Knox committee report—a proposal calling for an areawide referendum with only a majority vote required to make the organization a realityalready has been protested by Assemblyman John F. Dunlap of Napa, a member of the committee, who maintains voters in the smaller counties should decide themselves whether their individual counties should be included. He has suggested individual referendums in each county as a means of preserving this right of self-determination.